

ulm university universität

Kinetic maximal L^p-regularity

Lukas Niebel, joint work with Rico Zacher

Institute of Applied Analysis

Kolmogorov equation

Interested in solutions u = u(t, x, v): $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = -(-\Delta_v)^{\beta/2} u + f \\ u(0) = g. \end{cases}$$
(1)

with data f, g and $\beta \in (0, 2]$. Key points:

- Studied first by Kolmogorov in 1934 ($\beta = 2$).
- The transport operator $\partial_t + v \cdot \nabla_x$ is called kinetic term.
- Degenerate Laplacian acts in half of the variables.
- Unbounded coefficient in front of the lower order term.
- Prototype for the Boltzmann equation.



Motivation - Particle Physics



Particles at position x with velocity v. We describe the movement of the particles with the SDE

 $\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X(t) = V(t)\mathrm{d}t\\ \mathrm{d}V(t) = \mathrm{d}W(t), \end{cases}$

where $(W(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is the Wiener process. \rightsquigarrow Kolmogorov equation $\beta = 2$.

The Boltzmann equation models the particle collision, i.e. the change of velocity, more precisely.

Boltzmann equation

The Boltzmann equation can be written as

$$\partial_t u + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x u = Q(u, u) + \text{l.o.t.},$$

where

$$Q(u,g) = \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(t,x,v+h) - u(t,x,v)}{|h|^{n+\beta}} m(g)(t,x,v,h) \mathrm{d}h$$

with

$$m(g)(t, x, v, h) = \int_{w\perp h} g(t, x, v + w) |w|^{\gamma+\beta+1} \,\mathrm{d}w$$

and $\beta \in (0, 2)$, $\gamma > -n$ depend on physical assumptions. For fixed g the operator Q(u, g) is the fractional Laplacian in velocity with variable density.

Maximal regularity

General Principle: Find a function space Z for the solution u, a function space X for the inhomogeneity f and a function space X_{γ} for the initial value g such that the equation admits a unique solution $u \in Z$ if and only if $f \in X$ and $g \in X_{\gamma}$.

Maximal regularity

General Principle: Find a function space Z for the solution u, a function space X for the inhomogeneity f and a function space X_{γ} for the initial value g such that the equation admits a unique solution $u \in Z$ if and only if $f \in X$ and $g \in X_{\gamma}$.

Example - Heat equation

For all
$$p \in (1,\infty)$$
 the heat equation $\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u + f \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$

admits a unique solution

 $u \in Z = H^{1,p}((0,\infty); L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p((0,\infty); H^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ if and only if

$$\begin{split} &-f\in X=L^p((0,\infty);L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)),\\ &-g\in X_\gamma=B^{2-2/p}_{pp}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ (Besov space).}\\ &\text{Moreover, } u\in C([0,\infty);B^{2-2/p}_{pp}(\mathbb{R}^n)). \end{split}$$

For simplicity $\beta = 2$, every result holds true for $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

For simplicity $\beta = 2$, every result holds true for $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

Singular integral theory on homogeneous groups developed by Folland and Stein 1974 allows to prove the following. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, then the solution u of the Kolmogorov equation satisfies

$$\|\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u\|_p + \|\Delta_v u\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

For simplicity $\beta = 2$, every result holds true for $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

Singular integral theory on homogeneous groups developed by Folland and Stein 1974 allows to prove the following. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, then the solution u of the Kolmogorov equation satisfies

$$\|\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u\|_p + \|\Delta_v u\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

No control of the time-derivative. We prove classical maximal L^{p} -regularity is not applicable.

For simplicity $\beta = 2$, every result holds true for $\beta \in (0, 2)$.

Singular integral theory on homogeneous groups developed by Folland and Stein 1974 allows to prove the following. If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, then the solution u of the Kolmogorov equation satisfies

$$\|\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u\|_p + \|\Delta_v u\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_p.$$

No control of the time-derivative. We prove classical maximal L^{p} -regularity is not applicable. Our choice of function space Z:

$$Z = \{u: u, \Delta_v u, \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u \in L^p((0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))\}.$$

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Divide and conquer*

We can split the characterization of the solution in two separate problems.

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Divide and conquer*

We can split the characterization of the solution in two separate problems. Inhomogeneous eq. with zero intial-value

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \Delta_v u + f \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Classical Method: *L*^{*p*}-estimates, singular integrals,... Done, Folland/Stein.

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Divide and conquer*

We can split the characterization of the solution in two separate problems. Inhomogeneous eq. with zero Homogeneous eq. with non-zero intial-value intial-value

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \Delta_v u + f \\ u(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \Delta_v u \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

Classical Method: L^p-estimates, Classical Method: Studying the
singular integrals,...trace space of Z.Done, Folland/Stein.TODO!

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace?

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Sketch of the proof

Define

 $[\Gamma u](t, x, v) = u(t, x + tv, v)$ and $[\Gamma(t)w](x, v) = w(x + tv, v)$

on functions $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $w: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$.

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Sketch of the proof

Define

 $[\Gamma u](t, x, v) = u(t, x + tv, v) \text{ and } [\Gamma(t)w](x, v) = w(x + tv, v)$

on functions $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $w: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then,

 $\partial_t \Gamma u = \Gamma(\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u).$

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Sketch of the proof

Define

 $[\Gamma u](t, x, v) = u(t, x + tv, v) \text{ and } [\Gamma(t)w](x, v) = w(x + tv, v)$ on functions $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $w: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\partial_t \Gamma u = \Gamma(\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u).$ If $u \in Z$, then $\Gamma u \in H^{1,p}((0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, whence $\Gamma u \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})).$

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Sketch of the proof

Define

$$\begin{split} [\Gamma u](t,x,v) &= u(t,x+tv,v) \text{ and } [\Gamma(t)w](x,v) = w(x+tv,v) \\ \text{on functions } u \colon [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ and } w \colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}. \text{ Then,} \\ & \partial_t \Gamma u = \Gamma(\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u). \\ \text{If } u \in Z, \text{ then } \Gamma u \in H^{1,p}((0,T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})), \text{ whence} \\ & \Gamma u \in C([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})). \\ \text{As } (\Gamma(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \text{ is } C_0\text{-group, it follows} \\ & u = \Gamma^{-1}(t)\Gamma(t)u \in C([0,T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})). \end{split}$$

Does a function $u \in Z$ admit a trace? Yes!

Sketch of the proof

Define

 $[\Gamma u](t, x, v) = u(t, x + tv, v)$ and $[\Gamma(t)w](x, v) = w(x + tv, v)$ on functions $u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $w: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\partial_t \Gamma u = \Gamma(\partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u).$ If $u \in Z$, then $\Gamma u \in H^{1,p}((0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, whence $\Gamma u \in C([0, T]; L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})).$ As $(\Gamma(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is C_0 -group, it follows $\underline{u} = \underline{\Gamma^{-1}(t)} \underline{\Gamma(t)} \underline{u} \in \underline{C([0, T]; L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))}.$

Consequently, $\operatorname{Tr}(Z)$ well-defined and $Z \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; \operatorname{Tr}(Z))$.

The trace space of Z cannot be characterized by classical interpolation theory. As for the heat equation we expect

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Z) \hookrightarrow B^{2-2/p}_{pp,v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

The trace space of Z cannot be characterized by classical interpolation theory. As for the heat equation we expect

$$\operatorname{Tr}(Z) \hookrightarrow B^{2-2/p}_{pp,v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Is there any control of regularity in x? Yes!

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Regularity transfer from v to x.*

The phenomenon of regularity transfer.

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Regularity transfer from v to x.*

The phenomenon of regularity transfer.

Theorem (Bouchut 2002)

Let $u \in L^{p}((0, T); L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ with $\partial_{t}u + v \cdot \nabla_{x}u \in L^{p}((0, T); L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ and $u \in L^{p}((0, T); H^{2,p}_{v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, then

 $u \in L^{p}((0, T); H^{2/3,p}_{x}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})).$

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *Regularity transfer from v to x.*

The phenomenon of regularity transfer.

Theorem (Bouchut 2002)

Let $u \in L^{p}((0, T); L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ with $\partial_{t}u + v \cdot \nabla_{x}u \in L^{p}((0, T); L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$ and $u \in L^{p}((0, T); H^{2,p}_{v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))$, then $u \in L^{p}((0, T); H^{2/3,p}_{x}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})).$

In words: If u is the solution of a kinetic equation and u has two derivatives in velocity we obtain 2/3 of a derivative in space, too. Very useful and powerful result!

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *The initial value problem - 2*

Similar to Bouchut we also get some regularity in x for the trace space.

Theorem (N., Zacher, 2020) Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\operatorname{Tr}(Z) \cong B^{2/3(1-1/p)}_{pp,x}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B^{2-2/p}_{pp,v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Towards kinetic maximal regularity *The initial value problem - 2*

Similar to Bouchut we also get some regularity in x for the trace space.

Theorem (N., Zacher, 2020) Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\operatorname{Tr}(Z) \cong B_{pp,x}^{2/3(1-1/p)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B_{pp,v}^{2-2/p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$

Proof

Littlewood-Paley decomposition, Fourier analysis and the fundamental solution for the Kolmogorov equation.

Kinetic maximal L^p-regularity for the (fractional) Kolmogorov equation

Theorem (N., Zacher, 2020)

Let
$$T \in (0, \infty)$$
. For all $p \in (1, \infty)$ the Kolmogorov equation
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \Delta_v u + f \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution $u \in Z$ if and only if

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ f \in X = L^p((0, \, T); \, L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ - \ g \in X_\gamma = B^{2/3(1-1/p)}_{pp,x}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B^{2-2/p}_{pp,v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}). \\ \text{Moreover, } \ u \in C([0, \, T]; X_\gamma). \end{array}$$

We say the operator $A = \Delta_v$ admits kinetic maximal L^p -regularity.

Extensions *Change of base space*

So far we have only considered the base space $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Extensions *Change of base space*

So far we have only considered the base space $X = L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- We also consider the case $X = L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty)$ different from p and prove kinetic maximal $L^p(L^q)$ -regularity.

Extensions *Change of base space*

So far we have only considered the base space $X = L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

- We also consider the case $X = L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ for some $q \in (1, \infty)$ different from p and prove kinetic maximal $L^p(L^q)$ -regularity.
- For $p \in (1, \infty)$, q = 2 we characterize weak solutions to the fractional Kolmogorov equation.

Instead of $L^{p}((0, T); X)$ we consider a Lebesgue space with temporal weight of the form $t^{1-\mu}$ for some $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$ defined as

$$L^p_{\mu}((0, T); X) = \{ u \colon (0, T) \to X \colon \int_0^T t^{p-p\mu} \| u(t) \|_X^p \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty \}.$$

We write Z_{μ} for Z with temporal weight in the L^{p} -spaces.

Instead of $L^{p}((0, T); X)$ we consider a Lebesgue space with temporal weight of the form $t^{1-\mu}$ for some $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$ defined as

$$L^p_{\mu}((0, T); X) = \{ u \colon (0, T) \to X \colon \int_0^T t^{p-p\mu} \| u(t) \|_X^p \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty \}.$$

We write Z_{μ} for Z with temporal weight in the L^{p} -spaces. Key features:

- Kin. max. L^{p} -reg. \iff Kin. max. L^{p}_{μ} -reg. for any $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$

Instead of $L^{p}((0, T); X)$ we consider a Lebesgue space with temporal weight of the form $t^{1-\mu}$ for some $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$ defined as

$$L^p_{\mu}((0, T); X) = \{ u \colon (0, T) \to X \colon \int_0^T t^{p-p\mu} \| u(t) \|_X^p \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty \}.$$

We write Z_{μ} for Z with temporal weight in the L^p-spaces. Key features:

- Kin. max. L^{p} -reg. \iff Kin. max. L^{p}_{μ} -reg. for any $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$
- The trace space of Z_{μ} is given by $\operatorname{Tr}(Z_{\mu}) = X_{\gamma,\mu} = B_{\rho\rho,x}^{2/3(\mu-1/\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B_{\rho\rho,y}^{2(\mu-1/\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$

Instead of $L^{p}((0, T); X)$ we consider a Lebesgue space with temporal weight of the form $t^{1-\mu}$ for some $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$ defined as

$$L^p_{\mu}((0, T); X) = \{ u \colon (0, T) \to X \colon \int_0^T t^{p-p\mu} \| u(t) \|_X^p \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty \}.$$

We write Z_{μ} for Z with temporal weight in the L^p-spaces. Key features:

- Kin. max. L^{p} -reg. \iff Kin. max. L^{p}_{μ} -reg. for any $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$
- The trace space of Z_{μ} is given by $\operatorname{Tr}(Z_{\mu}) = X_{\gamma,\mu} = B_{\rho\rho,x}^{2/3(\mu-1/\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B_{\rho\rho,y}^{2(\mu-1/\rho)}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$
- Instantaneous regularization $Z_{\mu}(0, T) \hookrightarrow Z(\delta, T) \hookrightarrow C([\delta, T]; X_{\gamma,1})$ for all $\delta > 0$.

Extensions Kinetic maximal $L^{p}_{\mu}(L^{q})$ -regularity

Theorem (N., Zacher, 2020)

Let $T \in (0,\infty).$ For all $p,q \in (1,\infty)$ and any $\mu \in (1/p,1]$ the Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \Delta_v u + f \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution

$$u \in Z_{\mu} = \{u: u, \Delta_{v}u, \partial_{t}u + v \cdot \nabla_{x}u \in L^{p}_{\mu}((0, T); L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}))\}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{array}{l} - \ f \in X = L^p_{\mu}((0, \, T); \, L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ - \ g \in X_{\gamma, \mu} = B^{2/3(\mu - 1/p)}_{qp, x}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \cap B^{2(\mu - 1/p)}_{qp, v}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}). \end{array}$$

Moreover, $u \in C([0, \, T]; X_{\gamma, \mu}).$

Question: Do other operators admit kinetic maximal *L^p*-regularity?

Question: Do other operators admit kinetic maximal *L^p*-regularity? Yes.

Examples:

Question: Do other operators admit kinetic maximal *L^p*-regularity? Yes.

Examples:

$$-Au = a(t, x, v) \colon \nabla_v^2 u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + cu$$

Question: Do other operators admit kinetic maximal *L^p*-regularity? Yes.

Examples:

$$-Au = a(t, x, v): \nabla_v^2 u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + cu$$
$$-Au = -(-\Delta_v)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u \text{ with } \beta \in (0, 2)$$

Question: Do other operators admit kinetic maximal L^{p} -regularity? Yes.

Examples:

$$-Au = a(t, x, v) \colon \nabla_v^2 u + b \cdot \nabla_v u + cu$$

$$Au=-(-\Delta_{v})^{rac{eta}{2}}u$$
 with $eta\in(0,2)$

 non-local integro-differential operators acting in velocity with possibly time, space and velocity dependent density

Theorem (N., Zacher, 2020)

Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $\mu \in (1/p, 1]$, $a \in L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}; \operatorname{Sym}(n))$, $b \in L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $c \in L^{\infty}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}; \mathbb{R})$. If $a \ge \lambda \operatorname{Id}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and if the function $(t, x, v) \mapsto a(t, x + tv, v)$ is uniformly continuous, then the family of operators

$$A(t)u = a(t, \cdot) \colon \nabla_v^2 u + b(t, \cdot) \cdot \nabla_v u + c(t, \cdot)u$$

admits kinetic maximal $L^{p}_{\mu}(L^{q})$ -regularity.

Quasilinear kinetic diffusion problem *Short-time existence*

We prove short-time existence of strong L^{p}_{μ} -solutions to the following quasilinear kinetic diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \nabla_v \cdot (a(u) \nabla_v u) \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

for $a \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}; \operatorname{Sym}(n))$ with $a \ge \lambda Id$ for some $\lambda > 0$, $\mu - 1/p > 2n/p$ and $g \in X_{\gamma,\mu}$.

Quasilinear kinetic diffusion problem *Short-time existence*

We prove short-time existence of strong L^{p}_{μ} -solutions to the following quasilinear kinetic diffusion equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + v \cdot \nabla_x u = \nabla_v \cdot (a(u) \nabla_v u) \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

for $a \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}; \operatorname{Sym}(n))$ with $a \ge \lambda Id$ for some $\lambda > 0$, $\mu - 1/p > 2n/p$ and $g \in X_{\gamma,\mu}$.

Methods: Freeze the equation at the initial value and use kinetic maximal L^p -regularity for the frozen equation. Here, we need the maximal regularity of $A = a(g(x, v)): \nabla_v^2 u$.

Further research

Possible directions:

- weak L^p-solutions
- study kinetic quasilinear problems from physics/economics/biology
- regularity and long-time behavior of solutions to quasilinear problems
- a priori-estimates to solutions of the Kolmogorov equation
- conditions on the operator A such that it admits kinetic maximal L^p-regularity
- boundary problems
- different first order terms, for example $\partial_t + \langle x, B\nabla \rangle$ or the relativistic kinetic term $\partial_t + \frac{v}{\sqrt{1+|v|^2}}\nabla_x$

Bibliography

- F. Bouchut, *Hypoelliptic regularity in kinetic equations*, JMPA, 2002.
- [2] Z.-Q. Chen and X. Zhang, *L^p-maximal hypoelliptic regularity* of nonlocal kinetic Fokker–Planck operators, JMPA, 2018.
- [3] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Estimates for the* $\bar{\partial}_b$ *Complex and Analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math., 1974.
- [4] L. N., R. Zacher, Kinetic maximal L²-regularity for the (fractional) Kolmogorv equation. arXiv, 2020.
- [5] L. N., R. Zacher, Kinetic maximal L^p-regularity with temporal weights and application to quasilinear kinetic diffusion equations. arXiv, 2020.

Discussion A quasilinear heat equation

We want to understand if the solution constructed for the kinetic quasilinear diffusion problem exist for all positive times. Not even known in the parabolic case. We investigate

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (a(u)\nabla u) = a(u)\Delta u + a(u) |\nabla u|^2 \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$
(2)

for $a \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, (\lambda, K))$ and $0 < \lambda < K$.

Discussion A quasilinear heat equation

We want to understand if the solution constructed for the kinetic quasilinear diffusion problem exist for all positive times. Not even known in the parabolic case. We investigate

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (a(u)\nabla u) = a(u)\Delta u + a(u) |\nabla u|^2 \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$
(2)

for $a \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, (\lambda, K))$ and $0 < \lambda < K$.

Theorem

If p > n + 2, then for all $g \in B_{pp}^{2-2/p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a time T = T(g) and function $u \in Z := H^{1,p}((0, T); L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p((0, T); H^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$, which solves the quasilinear diffusion equation (2).

Discussion

Long time existence for small initial datum - 1

We assume that $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon < 1$. Recall $B_{pp}^{2-2/p} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for p > n+2. Step 0: Extend the local solution to a maximal interval of existence $[0, t^+)$. Let $T < t^+$.

Discussion

Long time existence for small initial datum - 1

We assume that $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon < 1$. Recall $B_{pp}^{2-2/p} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for p > n+2. Step 0: Extend the local solution to a maximal interval of existence $[0, t^+)$. Let $T < t^+$. Step 1: Maximum principle. Let $g \in X_{\gamma} = B_{pp}^{2-2/p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If u is an L^p -solution to $\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (a(t, x)\nabla u), u(0) = g$, on [0, T] then

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}g(x)\leq u(t,x)\leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}g(x)$$

Discussion

Long time existence for small initial datum - 1

We assume that $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon < 1$. Recall $B_{pp}^{2-2/p} \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for p > n+2. Step 0: Extend the local solution to a maximal interval of existence $[0, t^+)$. Let $T < t^+$. Step 1: Maximum principle. Let $g \in X_{\gamma} = B_{pp}^{2-2/p}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If u is an L^p -solution to $\partial_t u = \nabla \cdot (a(t, x)\nabla u), u(0) = g$, on [0, T] then

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}g(x)\leq u(t,x)\leq \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}g(x)$$

Step 2: A priori estimate. There exists constants $C = C(n, \lambda, K)$ and $\alpha = \alpha(n, \lambda, K) > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq C.$$

Step 3: We freeze the nonlinearity, i.e. consider the linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w = b(t, x) \Delta w \\ u(0) = g \end{cases}$$

with b(t, x) = a(u(t, x)). Due to the uniform continuity proven in Step 2 there exists a constant $M = M(\lambda, n, K) > 0$, independent of T, such that

$$\|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \leq M \|g\|_{X_{\gamma}}.$$

Step 4: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{Z(0,T)} &\leq \|u - w\|_{Z(0,T)} + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \left\| |\nabla u|^2 \right\|_p + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \|u\|_{\infty} \left\| \nabla^2 u \right\|_p + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \|g\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{Z} + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{Z(0,T)} &\leq \|u - w\|_{Z(0,T)} + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \left\| |\nabla u|^2 \right\|_p + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \|u\|_{\infty} \left\| \nabla^2 u \right\|_p + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \\ &\leq M \|g\|_{\infty} \|u\|_{Z} + \|w\|_{Z(0,T)} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Step 5: If $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{M}$, then $\lim_{T \to T^+} \|u\|_{Z(0,T)} < \infty.$

Consequently, $t^+ = \infty$ (general principle as for ODE).

Are there other conditions on the initial value, the solution such that $t^+ = \infty$?

- We need to control the L^{2p} -norm of ∇u .
- On bounded sets Hölder continuity implies Sobolev regularity, whence this norm can be controlled by Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and we always have global existence.
- L^1 -bound on the initial value g? This gives an a priori bound for u in all L^p -norms.
- Other ideas?